

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,220	07/01/2003	Thomas Bradley Beddard	839-1470	1219	
30024 7	9590 09/08/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			KERNS, KEVIN P		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1725	1725	
			DATE MAILED: 00/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
10/604,220	BEDDARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin P. Kerns	1725	

•		7.5.0	
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		I E below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,00000	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6,7 and 9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a North sufficient reasons why the affidation	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		Kevin P. Kerns μ	vin Kima 9/7/06

Art Unit: 1725

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicants' remarks/arguments on pages 1-4 of the (after final) request for reconsideration remain unpersuasive for the same reasons as set forth in paragraphs 1, 3, 6, and 8 of the final rejection dated May 31, 2006. Furthermore, the applicants' "new matter" arguments against paragraphs 1 and 3 of the final rejection are unpersuasive, as the features within Figures 2-4 of the drawings -- although appearing similar to those of the claim limitations -- do not provide adequate evidence of the "common plane" between the pair of pegs and co-planar legs of independent claims 1 and 7. As a result, the new matter objections to the specification and the 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph rejections remain. Regarding the prior art rejections (paragraph 6 and corresponding response to arguments section of paragraph 8), as set forth on pages 3 and 4 of the request for reconsideration, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicants' assertion that a prima facie case of obviousness has not been established, as proper motivations for combining references have been provided in the 35 USC 103(a) rejections of paragraph 6 of the final rejection, as well as in the response to arguments section (see paragraph 8 of the final rejection).

KEVIN KERNS Kum Kens 9/7/06
PRIMARY EXAMINER